
WESTCHESTER COUNTY CONTINUUM OF CARE: 2020 RANK AND REVIEW PROCESS

Adopted by the Board of the Westchester County Continuum of Care on February 19, 2020.

Summary

HUD's Continuum of Care (CoC) homeless assistance program provides over \$18,000,000 of funding per year for homeless services in Westchester County which provides housing for over 900 homeless and formerly homeless households. HUD awards homeless assistance grants through an annual application process known as the CoC Program Competition.

The Westchester Continuum of Care (WC CoC) coordinates the implementation of a housing and service system within Westchester County that meets the needs of the homeless individuals (including unaccompanied youth) and families. The Westchester CoC also, in consultation with the Collaborative Applicant, develops a process to respond to the HUD CoC Program Competition.

In order for WC CoC to prioritize programs that are most effectively serving the community at the local level, the community has implemented a Rank and Review Process for new and renewal projects. This process uses objective criteria and (where available) past performance to gain knowledge of project effectiveness within the full CoC system.

Multiple factors related to System Performance are used in the ranking including Exits to Permanent Housing, Exits to Homelessness, Housing Utilization Rate, Increased Total Income, and Increased Earned Income.

The process includes a specific method for evaluating projects submitted by victim service providers (if necessary).

The CoC evaluates each renewal CoC project using objective data gathered from:

- HMIS APR report for the previous 12 months.
- HMIS System Performance (SysPM) report for the previous 12 months.
- LOCCS financial data
- SAGE APR timeliness information
- Previous Project Application submitted to HUD

Scoring

The 2020 Westchester CoC Project Ranking will be scored on a 70 point scale with the following breakdown:

Criteria	Source	Points	
Factors related to System Performance			
Exits to Permanent Housing/ Retention in Permanent Housing	SysPM 7b	PSH: 100% 5 99 to 99.99% 4 95 to 98.99% 3 90 to 94.99% 2 80 to 89.99% 1 Below 80% 0	RRH and TH: 90% to 100% 5 80 to 89.99% 4 75 to 79.99% 3 70 to 74.99% 2 60 to 69.99% 1 Below 60% 0
Exits to Homelessness	APR Q23	All components: 0 to 4.99% 5 5 to 9.99% 4 10 to 14.99% 3 15 to 19.99% 2 20 to 24.99% 1 25%+ 0	
Increased participant earned income	SysPM 4.1	PSH: 10%+ 5 5 to 9.99% 4 2.5 to 4.99% 3 1 to 2.49% 2 0 to 0.99% 1 0% 0	RRH and TH: 35%+ 5 25 to 34.99% 4 20 to 24.99% 3 10 to 19.99% 2 0 to 9.99% 1 0% 0
Increased participant total income	SysPM 4.3	PSH: 70%+ 5 50 to 69.99% 4 25 to 49.99% 3 10 to 24.99% 2 0 to 9.99% 1 0% 0	RRH and TH: 90%+ 5 75 to 89.99% 4 50 to 74.99% 3 25 to 49.99% 2 0 to 24.99% 1 0% 0
Project Performance factors			
Housing Utilization Rate	APR Q7b and Q8b	PSH and TH: 100%+ 5 95 to 99.99% 4 85 to 94.99% 3 75 to 84.99% 2 50 to 74.99% 1 0 to 49.99% 0	RRH: 200%+ 5 150 to 199.99% 4 100 to 149.99% 3 75 to 99.99% 2 50 to 74.99% 1 0 to 49.99% 0
Participant Eligibility	CoC monitoring visit	0 to 5 points scored (<i>% eligible participants x 5 points</i>)	

HMIS Data Quality	APR Q6	0 to 5 points @ -1 point per <i>data element error rate 5% or above Q6a, Q6b, Q6c</i>
APR timeliness	SAGE	1 point per on time APR due in last two funding periods
Amount of funds recaptured by HUD	LOCCS and Project Application	0 to 5 points <i>scored (% funds grant spent x 5 points)</i>
<i>Project Characteristics</i>		
Project serves a specialized population services or serves a population with severe needs	Project Application	5 points for serving one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Youth • Victims of domestic violence • Families with children • Persons experiencing chronic homelessness • Veterans • Current or past substance use • Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Questioning (LGBTQ) status • Significant health or behavioral health challenges or functional impairments
Project Component	Project Application	10 points for PSH or RHH; 5 points for TH; 0 points for SSO (except Coordinated Entry)
Low Barrier	Project Application	5 points for Low Barrier
Participation in Coordinated Entry	HMIS and CoC attendance records	3 points if all project entries since 7/1/19 are CE referrals 2 points for provider attendance at most CERC meetings when the project has any vacancies.
CoC Board attendance	CoC attendance records	3 points for attendance at most CoC Board meetings [recipient and subrecipient]

Note: Domestic Violence services providers may self-report their data (from a comparable database) to respond to performance criteria since they do not participate in the Westchester CoC HMIS.

Project Ranking

Using the above scoring matrix, all projects seeking funding are scored and placed in numerical order, referred to as the “ranking”. Ranking places an applicant in either Tier 1 or Tier 2 based on the criteria established by HUD in the NOFA and CoC priorities.

The HMIS and Westchester Coordinated Entry projects are not scored, but automatically ranked #1 and #2 because they provide funding necessary for the functioning of the entire CoC.

First and adequately performing (based on Technical Submission benchmarks) second time renewal projects are placed in bottom spots in Tier 1.

The project ranking is first shared with the Continuum of Care Board. The Board reviews the process and all scoring in order to adopt the ranking. Projects that fall into the bottom of the ranking in Tier 2, are contacted and notified of their ranking.

Bonus Projects

This year, HUD has made bonus funds available through the CoC Bonus (for CoC's that demonstrate that the CoC ranks projects based on how they improve system performance). A separate RFP will be issued by the CoC to determine subrecipients to design and submit Project Applications to HUD for the CoC Bonus.

Bonus projects will be ranked below renewals.

Reallocation

Reallocation is the process by which the CoC shifts funds, in whole or in part, from existing eligible renewal grants to new projects that can better address prioritized community need(s). Reallocating funds is one of the most important tools by which communities can make strategic improvements to their homelessness system. The CoC can decide to repurpose a project that is underperforming or may be more appropriately funded from other sources to fill that need. Criteria to assess performance or underperformance will include scoring/rank and review results, participation in Coordinated Entry, participation in the local CoC, and participation within HMIS. CoC funded agencies may also voluntarily propose to reallocate CoC funds. Additionally, if a project consistently demonstrates unsatisfactory project performance outcomes and fails to make significant changes to improve its performance, that project may be recommended for reallocation.

If funds are reallocated, any resulting new projects will be ranked below renewals.